

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,352	10/08/2003	Kyoji Ogoshi	3190-044	8311	
33432 7590 63052098 KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C. 400 HOLIDAY COURT			EXAMINER		
			SIMS, JASON M		
SUITE 102 WARRENTOI	N. VA 20186	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,,		1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/681,352	OGOSHI, KYOJI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JASON M. SIMS	1631	

	JASON M. SIMS	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to during 47 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>\( \)\( \) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>\( (a) \)\( \)\( \)\( \)\( \) They raise the wissues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>\( (b) \)\( \)\( \)\( \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 25 and 26.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance				
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/Michael Borin, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1631					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment introduces new issues, which would require a further search and consideration. For example, applicant's amendment now causes the claimed methods to determine a cancer treatment having a statistically significant probability of prolonging the cancer patient's survival, wherein the determinination of a cancer treatment is based on amino acids encoded at particular positions of the particular goes. A new analysis of the method steps and invention would have to be made to determine the enablement of determining a cancer treatment for significantly prolonging a cancer patients life, which is based on amino acids encoded at particular positions. Applicant's amendment changes the scope of invention and therefore would require this new search and consideration. Therefore, applicant's amendment has not been entered.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered, but were not deemed persuasive as they address amended claims, which have not been entered.